CLASSIFICATION RESTRICTED SECURITY INFORMATION CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

INFORMATION FROM FOREIGN DOCUMENTS OR RADIO BROADCASTS

REPORT

CD NO.

COUNTRY Rumania

SUBJECT Political - Party education

Daily newspaper

DATE OF

INFORMATION 1952

HOW

DATE DIST. 24 Oct 1952

WHERE

PUBLISHED

Γ

PUBLISHED Bucharest

NO. OF PAGES

DATE

PUBLISHED

14 Jun 1952

SUPPLEMENT TO

LANGUAGE Rumanian

REPORT NO.

THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION .

SOURCE

Scanteia.

CRITICISM OF PARTY EDUCATION IN RUMANIA

At the close of the Party educational year in June 1952, all regiune committees examined the records and achievements of their activists for the preceding year. Many errors were cited in each regiune, but the majority of criticism was aimed at poor training of activists in Constanta and Prahova regiones.

The Central Committee of the PMR (Rumanian Worker's Party) entrusted all regiune raior, and city committees with the duty of evaluating present propagandists, removing irresponsible elements, and adding better men to the ranks.

Accordingly, every regiune had from 200 to 400 consultants assigned exclusively to activist work. These consultants were under the direction of the regiune committee, which assigned them individual topics of study and research. On the basis of these studies, the consultants were assigned to speaking tours and discussion series in each of the principal enterprises of the regiune. During the first half of 1952, the most frequent topic was "The Malignant Influence of Luca and Pauker."

Reviewing the content of these party educational presentations, the regiune committees decided that insufficient attention was given to the antiparty and antistate activities of Luca and Pauker. Constanta and Prahova regiunes particularly, registered dissatisfaction with the work of their propagandists.

Thus, Iordachescu (fnu), secretary of the regiune committee in charge of Constanta propaganda and agitation, and Ronnatovski (fnu), chief of the propaganda and agitation section, were accused of failing to apply the explicit directives issued by the regiune committee. Furthermore, surprise inspections resulted in findings that the city committee of Constanta, the committee of the Black Sea-Danube Canal, and the raion party committees of Constanta and Medgidia took no steps to remove irresponsible elements and replace them with the new propagandists. Even after being apprised of the situation, the Constanta Regiune Committee did nothing to improve conditions but assigned this task instead to inexperienced members of the committee for propaganda and agitation.

- 1 -

			CLA	SSIFICATIO	N RESTRICTED	
STATE	K	NAVY	X	NSRB	DISTRIBUTION	
ARMY	X	AIR		FBI		
						

STAT



1

RESTRICTED

Similarly, the work of the Prahova Regiune Committee was severely criticized for its failure to give close attention to the quality of the presentations. Some consultants were chosen from the ranks of persons devoid of party sentiment or agitation experience. The city committee of Ploesti and the raion committees of Targoviste and Campina were especially criticized for their neglicated in entrusting consultant tasks to untrained personnel. An example was cited of the work of Toma Dumitru, consultant for the Targoviste party committee, who was unable to answer any of the questions on the resolutions of the Central

Regiune and raion committees have the task of educating the consultants and activists on basic party policies so that they may properly discuss problems raised at seminars and meetings. Since a majority of the regiune committees failed in this duty, these committees are strongly admonished to exercise stronger vigilance over the ranks of propagandists.

STAT



- E N D -

- 2 -

RESTRICTED